

University of South Carolina Aiken

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group

The comparison group featured in this report is

Southeast Public

See your Selected Comparison Groups report for details.

Your students compared with

This *Snapshot* is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2018 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

Engagement Indicators


0.0.				
Sets of items are grouped into ten			Southeast Public	
Engagement Indicators, organized	Theme	Engagement Indicator	First-year	Senior
under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your	Academic	Higher-Order Learning		
institution. For details, see your Engagement Indicators report.		Reflective & Integrative Learning		
Engagement Indicators Toporti	Challenge	Learning Strategies		
Key:		Quantitative Reasoning		
Your students' average was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.	Learning	Collaborative Learning		
Your students' average was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.	with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others		
No significant difference.	Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction		Δ
Your students' average was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.	with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices		
Your students' average was significantly lower $(p < .05)$ with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.	Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions		Δ
		Supportive Environment		Δ

High-Impact Practices

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "highimpact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

First-year

Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty Senior Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience






University of South Carolina Aiken

Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons*, the *Major Field Report*, the *Online Institutional Report*, or the Report Builder.




Reading and Writing

These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.




Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."



tional survey of student engagement

University of South Carolina Aiken

Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions^a on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, see your Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons report.

First-year

Senior

Highest Performing Relative to Southeast Public

Lowest Performing Relative to Southeast Public					
Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information ${}^{ m f}$ (HO)					
Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issue $\ensuremath{^{\ensuremath{s}}}$ (SE)					
Institution emphasis on attending campus activities and events () (SE)					
Talked about career plans with a faculty member ^b (SF)					
About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)? (HIP)					

Lowest Performing Relative to Southeast Public

Highest Performing Relative to Southeast Public

Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials^b (LS)

Lowest Performing Relative to Southeast Public

Instructors clearly explained course goals and requirements^c (ET)

Quality of interactions with other administrative staff and offices $(...)^d$ (QI)

Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept^b (RI)

Participated in an internship, co-op, field exp., student teach., clinical placemt. (HIP)

Quality of interactions with academic advisors^d (QI)


Participated in a study abroad program (HIP)

Completed a culminating senior experience (...) (HIP)


Talked about career plans with a faculty member^b (SF)

Institution emphasis on using learning support services (${}^{ m f}$ (SE)					
Discussions with People with political views other than your own^\flat (DD)					
Institution emphasis on providing support for your overall well-being (SE)					
Quality of interactions with academic advisors ^d (QI)					
Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where (HIP)					

Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issues (SE)



Percentage Point Difference with Southeast Public



Percentage Point Difference with Southeast Public

a. The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for El items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in youInstitutional Report and available on the NSSE website.

c. Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."

d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

e. Percentage reporting at least "Some."

f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading

b. Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."

g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.



University of South Carolina Aiken

How Students Assess Their Experience


Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

Satisfaction with USC Aiken

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	138	28%	79%	96%
Senior	291	31%	75%	90%
a	·	1 0	1 (D (°1	

See your *Administration Summary* and *Respondent Profile* reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution did not choose to administer additional questions. In future administrations, you may customize NSSE by participating in a topical module or a consortium. See our website for more information. nsse.indiana.edu

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,600 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.indiana.edu